




Dental Implant  
**JDEVOLUTION<sup>®</sup>**

JDEvolution<sup>®</sup> with Sand-blasted Large grit Acid-etched surface (S.L.A.)




# JD EVOLUTION<sup>®</sup> S.L.A.

## THE STATE OF THE ART FOR IMPLANT SURFACE




The S.L.A. surface is produced using a large-grit sandblasting technique which gives the titanium surface a macro roughness. An acid-etching procedure produces a superimposed micro roughness.

In-vitro studies show increased cell activities<sup>1,2</sup> favoring a greater bone-implant contact at a shorter time. Results from these experimental studies reinforce the concept. Removal torque experiments and histologic analyses from in-vivo studies further confirm the fast osseointegration of the implants with the S.L.A. surface<sup>3</sup>.



## JDEvolution® implant with Sand-blasted Large grit Acid-etched surface.

Quantitative evaluation of surface roughness of JDEvolution® implants has been conducted, providing values for all the parameters defined in the standard. These data are reported in the table below, together with measurements performed on the S.L.A. reference sample and data obtained from the literature (data are expressed in micrometers, as the mean and standard deviation).



SEM pictures a treated JDEvolution® implant with S.L.A. surface. The macro and the micro roughnesses are identifiable.

| PARAMETER | S.L.A. JDEVOLUTION<br>our measurements | S.L.A. STRAUMANN<br>our measurements | S.L.A. STRAUMANN<br>literature <sup>4</sup> | S.L.A. STRAUMANN<br>literature <sup>5</sup> |
|-----------|--|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| Ra        | 1.37 ± 0.14                            | 1.52 ± 0.19                          | 1.53 ± 0.11                                 | 1.19 ± 0.04                                 |
| Rq        | 1.58 ± 0.32                            | 1.90 ± 0.41                          | nr  | nr  |
| Rz        | 9.32 ± 0.54                            | 10.54 ± 0.44                         | 9.19 ± 0.47                                 | 10.53 ± 0.72                                |
| Rp        | 5.10 ± 0.42                            | 6.40 ± 0.65                          | nr  | nr  |
| Rv        | 4.22 ± 0.78                            | 4.13 ± 0.43                          | nr  | nr  |
| Rc        | 5.41 ± 0.43                            | 5.63 ± 0.67                          | nr  | nr  |

Data from the previous table show good agreement between present data obtained on JDEvolution® implant surface and the measured S.L.A. Straumann sample; and good agreement between ours and literature data.

**DATA CONFIRMED THAT  
JDENTALCARE S.L.A. SURFACE  
IS PERFECTLY IN AGREEMENT  
WITH THE STANDARD ONE  
REPORTED IN THE LITERATURE**

1. Martin JY, Schwartz Z, Hummert TW, Schraub DM, Simpson J, Lankford J Jr, Dean DD, Cochran DL, Boyan BD. Effect of titanium surface roughness on proliferation, differentiation, and protein synthesis of human osteoblast-like cells (MG63). *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1995 Mar;29(3):389-401.
2. Wong M, Eulenberger J, Schenk R, Hunziker E. Effect of surface topology on the osseointegration of implant materials in trabecular bone. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1995 Dec;29(12):1567-75.
3. Buser D, Schenk RK, Steinemann S, Fiorellini JP, Fox CH, Stich H. Influence of surface characteristics on bone integration of titanium implants: A histometric study in miniature pigs. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 1991;25:889-902.
4. Szmukler-Moncler S, Perrin D, Ahossi V, Magnin G, Bernard JP. Biological properties of acid etched titanium implants: effect of sandblasting on bone anchorage. *J Biomed Mater Res.* 2004; 68B: 149-159.
5. Kim H, Choi SH, Ryu JJ, Koh SY, Park JL, Lee IS. The biocompatibility of SLA-treated titanium implants. *Biomed Mater.* 2008 Jun;3(2):025011.



**JDENTALCARE**

[www.jdentalcare.com](http://www.jdentalcare.com)